

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CLARENCE PENDERGRASS, JR.,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-05-0728 DFL GGH P

vs.

R.C.C.C., et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

_____/

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.

On April 10, 2006, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Plaintiff has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-304, this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.


1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

2 1. The findings and recommendations filed April 10, 2006, are adopted in full;

3 and

4 2. Defendant's January 9, 2006, motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim is
5 denied; and defendant's January 9, 2006, motion to dismiss for plaintiff's failure to exhaust
6 administrative remedies is granted.

7 DATED: 8/3/2006

8
9 
10 _____
11 DAVID F. LEVI
12 United States District Judge
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26